

LAW OFFICES OF P. STERLING KERR
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120, Henderson, Nevada 89074
Telephone: (702) 451-2055 Facsimile: (702) 451-2077

P. STERLING KERR, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 3978
GEORGE E. ROBINSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9667
LAW OFFICES OF P. STERLING KERR
2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120
Henderson, Nevada 89074
Telephone No. (702) 451-2055
Facsimile No. (702) 451-2077
Email: sterling@sterlingkerrlaw.com
Email: george@sterlingkerrlaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

INTERNATIONAL MARKETS LIVE,
INC., dba iMARKETSLIVE;

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE WEALTH BUILDER GLOBAL
INTERNATIONAL LLC, a Georgia
limited liability company; TYQUAN
BURNEY, an individual; NICOLAS
MINTER, an individual; CAMERON
SIMMONS, an individual;

Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1) BREACH OF CONTRACT;
- 2) VIOLATION OF UNIFORM
TRADE SECRETS ACT
- 3) DEFAMATION PER SE;
- 4) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE
WITH CONTRACTUAL
RELATIONS;
- 5) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE
WITH PROSPECTIVE
ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE; and
- 6) CIVIL CONSPIRACY

COMES NOW, Plaintiff by and through its attorneys, the Law Offices of P. Sterling Kerr, complain and allege against Defendants THE WEALTH BUILDER GLOBAL INTERNATIONAL LLC, and TYQUAN BURNEY as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff International Markets Live (“IML”) is a New York corporation with corporate offices in Las Vegas, NV.

2. Defendant THE WEALTH BUILDER GLOBAL INTERNATIONAL LLC
(hereinafter “WBG”), is a Georgia limited liability company that conducts business throughout the world, including in Nevada.
3. Defendant TYQUAN BURNEY is an individual residing in Georgia and a member of WBG, who conducts business in Nevada.
4. Defendant NICOLAS MINTER is an individual residing in Georgia and a member of WBG, who conducts business in Nevada.
5. Defendant CAMERON SIMMONS is an individual residing in Georgia and a member of WBG, who conducts business in Nevada.
6. This Court has diversity subject matter jurisdiction of this action. The Court has diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because no Plaintiffs and Defendants are residents of the same state, and because the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00).
7. Venue is proper as IML has corporate offices in the state of Nevada, and all of the parties conduct business in the state of Nevada.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

8. IML is a company that provides its customers with educational tools and information to trade foreign and crypto currencies (“Forex Trading”).
9. IML’s products are distributed under a direct sales model and are offered to individuals on a subscription basis. IML’s products help IML provide customers with technology, education, and research for Forex Trading.
10. IML contracts with IBOs in an independent contractor relationship to effectuate the direct sales model of its products and services. These contracts are called Independent Business Owner (“IBO”) Agreements.

1 11. All IML IBOs become IBOs of IML products only after entering into an IBO

2 Agreement requiring the IML distributor to agree to IML's Policies and Procedures.

3 12. The IBO states that each distributor has carefully read and agrees to comply with

4 IML's Policies and Procedures.

5 13. IML's Policies and Procedures require, among other things, that:

6 • IBOs may not directly or indirectly recruit other IBOs or customers for other
7 network marketing businesses;

8 • IBOs may not participate as a distributor in another network marketing
9 company that sells and distributes similar products to IML;

10 • IBOs must not sell, or attempt to sell, any competing non-IML programs,
11 products, or services to IML IBOs or customers;

12 • The Confidentiality Provision in the Policies and Procedures states:

13
14 During the term of the Agreement, Company may supply to Independent Affiliates
15 confidential information, including, but not limited to genealogical and Downline
16 reports, customer lists, customer information developed by Company or developed
17 for and on behalf of Company by Independent Affiliates (including, but not limited
18 to, credit data, customer and Independent Affiliate profiles and product purchase
19 information), Independent Affiliate lists, manufacturer and supplier information,
20 business reports, commission or sales reports and such other financial and business
21 information which Company may designate as confidential. All such information
22 (whether in written or electronic format) is proprietary and confidential to Company
23 and is transmitted to Independent Affiliates in strictest confidence on a "need to
24 know" basis for use solely in Independent Affiliates business with Company.
25 Independent Affiliates must use their best efforts to keep such information
26 confidential and must not disclose any such information to any third party, or use
27 this information for any non-company activity directly or indirectly while on
28 Independent Affiliate and thereafter.

14. Defendants Minter and Simmons entered into IBO Agreements requiring them to
agree to IML's Policies and Procedures.

15. At all times relevant herein, Minter and Simmons knew and were aware of the terms
of the IML Policies and Procedures, including the prohibitions against recruiting IML

1 IBOs and traders to leave IML, the prohibition against working for a competitor of
2 IML, and the prohibition against providing Confidential Information and intellectual
3 property to anyone outside of IML.

4 16. Minter and Simmons breached the IML Policies and Procedures.

5 17. Minter and Simmons breached their contracts with IML by, among other things,
6 leaving IML and immediately starting a competing company WBG.

7 18. Minter, Simmons, and Burney have bribed, solicited, recruited, and enticed other IML
8 IBOs and traders away from IML and to WBG.

9 19. Minter, Simmons, and Burney have intentionally and knowingly bribed, solicited,
10 enticed, and recruited IML IBOs and traders to leave IML and to work for WBG.

11 20. Minter and Simmons knowingly, intentionally, and wrongfully took Confidential
12 Information and intellectual property from IML.

13 21. Minter and Simmons knowingly, intentionally, and wrongfully gave the Confidential
14 Information and intellectual property they took from IML to WBG.

15 22. WBG used the Confidential Information and intellectual property that it knew Minter
16 and Simmons stole from IML.

17 23. Minter and Simmons knowingly, intentionally, and wrongfully used the Confidential
18 Information and intellectual property they took from IML when working for WBG.

19 24. Minter, Simmons, and Burney knowingly and intentionally published false statements
20 on social media platforms regarding IML, its employees, and IBOs.

21 25. Defendants published these false statements against IML in order to promote WBG.

22 26. IML suffered financial harm as a result of Burney and WBG's wrongful conduct.

23
24
25
26
27 ///

28 ///

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract Against Minter and Simmons)

27. IML incorporates by reference and reaffirms each and every allegation previously asserted as if fully set forth herein.

28. Minter and Simmons entered into, or otherwise agreed to be, and was bound by a contract with IML.

29. At all relevant times herein, IML performed the obligations and duties required of it by the contracts it had between IML and Minter and Simmons.

30. The Minter and Simmons breached their contracts with IML by failing to conform to the terms of their respective agreement(s), namely by taking confidential and trade secret information from IML for WBG's use.

31. The breaches of the contract by Minter and Simmons have caused damages to IML excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00).

32. IML is entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees due to Defendant's breach of the agreements.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Misappropriation and Violation of Uniform Trade Secrets Act – Nevada Revised Statutes Chapter 600A against all Defendants)

33. IML incorporates by reference and reaffirms each and every allegation previously asserted as if fully set forth herein.

34. Defendants acquired IML's trade secrets by an improper means, including stealing or misappropriating the trade secrets from IML.

35. Defendants misappropriated IML's trade secrets by disclosing the trade secrets without express or implied consent from IML, including those trade secrets that were obtained without authorization from IML.

1 36. Defendants further misappropriated IML's trade secrets by using the trade secrets
2 without express or implied consent from IML.

3 37. Defendants had a duty to maintain the secrecy of IML's trade secrets.

4 38. As a result of Defendants' misappropriation of IML's trade secrets, IML has suffered
5 damages to be determined at trial in excess of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

6 39. IML is entitled to exemplary damages due to Defendants' willful and malicious
7 misappropriation of IML's trade secrets in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars
8 (\$75,000.00).
9

10 40. IML is entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs due to Defendants' willful
11 and malicious misappropriation of IML's trade secrets.

12 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
13 (Defamation Per Se against all Defendants)

14 41. IML incorporates by reference and reaffirms each and every allegation previously
15 asserted as if fully set forth herein.

16 42. Defendants made statements on social media which tend to harm the reputation of the
17 IML in the estimation of the community and deter third persons from associating or
18 dealing with IML.

19 43. The statements are false.

20 44. The statements were intentionally published on the internet.

21 45. The statements constitute the imputation that IML has a lack of fitness for trade,
22 business, or profession.

23 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' defamatory acts, IML has been
24 harmed in amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00).
25

26 47. It has been necessary for IML to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this
27 action and, therefore, IML is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
28

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Tortious Interference with Contractual Relations against all Defendants)

48. IML incorporates by reference and reaffirms each and every allegation previously asserted as if fully set forth herein.

49. IML had contractual relationships with customers and individual representatives who are receiving educational products for Forex markets in exchange for monetary compensation.

50. Defendants knew of these relationships.

51. Defendants spread false information and used IML's confidential information and trade secrets to form relationships with IML's customers for WBG's gain.

52. Defendants' conduct was not legally justified.

53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' interference with IML's contractual relationships, IML has been harmed in amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00).

54. It has been necessary for IML to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and, therefore, IML is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Tortious Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage against all Defendants)

55. IML incorporates by reference and reaffirms each and every allegation previously asserted as if fully set forth herein.

56. IML had prospective contractual relationships with customers and individual representatives who desired to participate in receiving educational products for Forex markets.

57. Defendants knew of these prospective relationships.

1 58. Defendants spread false information and used IML's confidential information and
2 trade secrets with the intent of preventing and inhibiting IML's relationships with the
3 prospective customers.

4 59. Defendants' conduct was not legally justified.

5 60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' interference with the prospective
6 customer relationships, IML has been harmed in amount in excess of seventy-five
7 thousand dollars (\$75,000.00).

8 61. It has been necessary for IML to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this
9 action and, therefore, IML is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
10

11 **SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
12 (Conspiracy against all Defendants)

13 62. IML incorporates by reference and reaffirms each and every allegation previously
14 asserted as if fully set forth herein.

15 63. Defendants, in a combination of two or more persons, conspired to commit
16 unlawful acts against IML.

17 64. Defendants decided upon an object to be accomplished to harm IML namely
18 Defendants object to be accomplished was (1) the theft of IML Confidential
19 Information and intellectual property, and (2) the bribery, solicitation, recruitment,
20 and encouragement of IML IBOs and traders to breach their contracts with IML.
21

22 65. Defendants, in a combination of two or more persons, reached a meeting of the
23 minds as to the object to be accomplished identified above.

24 66. Defendants committed one or more unlawful, overt acts, including to the detriment
25 and harm of IML.

26 67. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conspiracy, IML has been
27 harmed in amount in excess of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).
28

1 68. It has been necessary for IML to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this
2 action and, therefore, IML is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

3 WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS FOR
4 THE FOLLOWING RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANTS:

5 1. For Damages in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 as a result of Defendants' unlawful
6 actions;

7 2. For injunctive relief to enjoin the Defendants from the following:

- 8 • Defendants shall immediately refrain from using any IML customer list,
9 trademark, trade secret, program information, or any other confidential information
10 and/or materials, including downlines, back-office data, binary options trading
11 software, and binary options trading algorithms;
- 12 • Defendants shall immediately refrain from disclosing any IML customer list,
13 trademark, trade secret, program information, or any other confidential information
14 and/or materials;
- 15 • Defendants shall immediately return any equipment, or other materials,
16 including but not limited to, hard copies of documents and/or data, electronic copies of
17 documents and/or data, emails, and any other material containing or referring to any
18 IML customer list, trademark, trade secret, program information, or any other
19 confidential information and/or materials;
- 20 • Defendants shall immediately refrain from conducting and soliciting any
21 business, accepting any employment by or rendering professional services to, any
22 person or organization that is or was a IML distributor, trader, and/or client, which
23 includes that WBG shall not conduct business with those Defendants who are former
24 IML IBOs or traders; and
25
26
27
28

- Defendants shall immediately refrain from soliciting, recruiting, bribing, and/or enticing IML IBOs and/or traders to violate their contracts with IML and/or misappropriate IML's Confidential Information and/or intellectual property.

3. For an award of pre-judgment interest, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees as both normal and special damages, and other costs; and

4. For such other and further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 22nd day of November, 2019

LAW OFFICES OF P. STERLING KERR

/s/ P. Sterling Kerr, Esq.

P. STERLING KERR, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 3978
GEORGE E. ROBINSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9667
LAW OFFICES OF P. STERLING KERR
2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120
Henderson, Nevada 89074
Telephone No. (702) 451-2055
Facsimile No. (702) 451-2077
Email: sterling@sterlingkerrlaw.com
Email: george@sterlingkerrlaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff